

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 04/03/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/865,419	05/28/1997	STEPHEN B. AUGER	CLINK0002	8405	
7:	590 04/03/2002				
JAMES C. WRAY		EXAMINER			
1493 CHAIN BRIDGE ROAD SUITE 300			EINSMANN, MARGARET V		
MCLEAN, VA	22101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1751	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Complianc With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/865,419	AUGER, STEPHEN B.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Margaret Einsmann	1751	

		Margaret Einsmann	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
	The Appeal Brief filed on is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.							
1.192 mailin within	old dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN (c) within the longest of any of the following three date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) the period for reply to the action from which this as GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.	e TIME PERIODS: (1)ONE MON' 2) TWO MONTHS from the date (TH or THIRTY D of the notice of a	PAYS from the ppeal; or (3)				
1. 🗆	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the iter	ms are not unde	the proper				
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the sappealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).	tatus of all claims, pending or ca	ncelled, or does	not identify the				
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subseq statement of the status of each such amendment	uent to the final rejection, and thent (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).	e brief does not	contain a				
4. 🖾	The brief does not contain a concise explanation and line number and to the drawing, if any, by	on of the claimed invention, refer reference characters (37 CFR 1.	ring to the specif 192(c)(5)).	ication by page				
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement	of the issues presented for revie	ew (37 CFR 1.19	2(c)(6)).				
6. 🗆	A single ground of rejection has been applied t	o two or more claims in this appli	ication, and					
(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 3 together, yet presents arguments in support			t stand or fall				
(b)	the brief includes the statement required by together, yet does not present arguments it							
7. 🗆	The brief does not present an argument under a	separate heading for each issue	on appeal (37 C	FR 1.192(c)(8)).				
8. 🛛	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CF	R 1.192(c)(9)).				
9. 🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):						
	In response to applicant's appeal brief, the examiner acknowledges that claims 23,25,26 and 29 were canceled in the amendment received may 2, 2000. In response to the request for a correct copy of claims 1-3, 20-22 and 31, copies of said claims are attached to this notice Item 6b. Applicant has not presented reasons why the claims do not stand or fall together. Applicant misunderstands the examiner's remark in paper # 36. The examiner stated that applicant has merely pointed out the differences in what the claims cover and did not argue why each claim is separately patentable. Please read the quotation from the MPEP appended to paper #36. Applicant has to point out how each claim is patentable over each reference, not merely how the claims differ from each other. Item 4. The summary of the invention is still not CONCISE.							
			U	_				